

### USING MAP OF CONTRADICTION for decision support within warehouse design process

**30/08/2019, Berlin** 9th IFAC Conference MIM 2019 on Manufacturing Modelling, Management and Control

Dmitry KUCHARAVY David DAMAND Marc BARTH Ridha DERROUICHE Samia GAMOURA







### UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG





- 4 Nobel Prize Laureates
- 48,000 students
- 20% of international students

### **EM Strasbourg** - be distinctive

- 23 degree programs
- 3 600 students
- 22 000 alumnus
- 220 international partners





### table of content



- 1. introduction
- 2. topic of research / study
- 3. Researching Future methodology
- 4. discussions and prospects



### characteristics of Industry 4.0



- 1. Vertical integration or networking of smart production systems
- 2. Horizontal integration through global value chain networks
- 3. Through-engineering across the entire value chain
- 4. Acceleration of manufacturing
- 5. Digitalization of products and services
- 6. New business models and customer access







### long-term evolution of warehousing?





#### trends in LOGISTICS

- E-commerce
- Anticipatory Logistics
- Omni-channel logistics
- Customer centric production
- Same-Day (or faster) delivery
- Store products closer to consumers,
- Collaborative Networks etc.

- trends in SOCIETY
- Demography and aging of the population,
- Urbanization
- Increased connectivity, etc.
- trends in ECONOMIES
- Growth of sharing economy (Uber, AirBnb, etc)
- Towards circular economy
- Changes in labor market
- Globalization and deglobalization, etc.
- Letter trends in LEGISLATIONS
- Environmental
- Labor laws
- Norms of security, etc.
- Letter trends in **TECHNOLOGIES**
- Additive manufacturing (3D printing)
- Autonomous vehicles, drones, collaborative robots
- Industry 4.0
- Cyber-Physical Systems & IoT
- Big Data, etc.



### warehouse indicators



Dimensions	Indicator name
Time	Order lead time   Receiving time 5   Order picking time Delivery Lead Time   Queuing time   Putaway time   Shipping time Dock-to-stock time   Equipment downtime
Quality	On-time delivery   Customer satisfaction   Order fill rate Stock-out rate   Physical inventory accuracy   Storage accuracy Picking accuracy   Shipping accuracy   Delivery accuracy   Perfect orders Scrap rate   Orders shipped on time   Cargo damage rate
Cost	Inventory cost   Order processing cost   Cost as a % of sales   Labour cost Distribution cost   Maintenance cost
Productivity	Labour productivity   Throughput Shipping productivity Transport utilisation Warehouse utilization   Picking productivity   Inventory space utilisation Turnover   Outbound space utilisation   Receiving productivity

\* Staudt, Francielly Hedler, Gülgün Alpan, Maria Di Mascolo, and Carlos M Taboada Rodriguez. 2015. "Warehouse Performance Measurement: A Literature Review." International Journal of Production Research 53 (18). Taylor & Francis: 5524–5544



the need for reliable vision HUMANIS design decommissi oning or construction reusing WAREHOUSE rearranging exploitation growth

> The strategic decision relating to warehouse evolution must take account of the dynamic changes of the activities and functions, as well as the specific characteristics of the system's life cycle **7**

### what is the SUBJECT?



- In the context : third-party logistics provider (3PL) : FM>LOGISTIC
- The warehousing system :
   <provide customers > < products > with the desired quantity within the
   desire deadline
- Research Question : what are the key characteristics for strategic decision-making in terms of warehousing design?





### the treated question



what are the key characteristics for strategic decision-making in terms of warehousing design?



strategic decision – a planning choice between two
 or more options, for warehousing it is
 generally characterized by a horizon
 of 10 to 15 years;

**key characteristic** – a solution (usually unknown) that satisfies the most relevant couples of trend-barrier for a successful evolution of the system in a certain time (e.g. *agile supply chain*)



# how can we predict future of warehousing?



Problems are more important than solutions. Solutions can become obsolete when problems remain.

– Niels Bohr (Nobel prize in Physics, 1922) trends in logistics

trends in society

trends in legislations

trends in economies

environmental limitations

NEW TECHNOLOGICAL ABILITIES

### research framework







### **Researching Future\* methodology**







\* Kucharavy, Dmitry, and Roland De Guio. 2008. "Technological Forecasting and Assessment of Barriers for Emerging Technologies." IAMOT 2008. Dubai, UAE

# combination of qualitative and quantitative methods





- system description the model of System
   Operator from TRIZ (Altshuller, 1984),
- modeling problems map of Contradictions for elaborating network of problems within OTSM-TRIZ (Altshuller, 1985, Khomenko, 2010)
- measuring capacity of evolution S-curves logistic fits (Modis, 1992, Meyer, 1999)
- timing evolution Technology substitution models (Marchetti, Nakicenovic, 1979), (Modis, 2013)
- interpretation patterns of the knowledge obtained from the DITEK model (Grundstein, 2011)



#### R1-: - handling productivity V: high : 80% < rate < 92% R2+: - storage profitability A: low : rate < 80% R1+: - handling productivity R1+: - handling productivity R2+: - storage profitability

handling productivity = number of (parcels or pallets)/hour; storage profitability = (storage turnover  $/m^2$ ) / cost per  $m^2$  of the storage surface area

Example of contradiction: In the <distribution center> system, the trend < significant flow heterogeneity> encounters a barrier <storage profitability>

# contradiction model for problem descriptions





Example of evolution for surface area of WS constructed in France,  $m^2$  (Tm=2005.5;  $\Delta$ t=30.1; Rsq=0.993)





### some results

3 experts 3PL and 3 researches: 26 x 4 h working sessions in 12 months

identified :

- 21 trends;
- 48 drivers et 49 barriers;
- 281 desired results ;
- 88 indicators classified into 6 dimensions

logistic S-curves were developed using data from 25 WS from 13 countries : France ; Europe ; East Europe, Central Europe, West Europe; Asia

map of 58 contradictions :

- 64 concepts,
- 107 connections,
- 332 propositions for
- 7 stakeholders

\* Kucharavy D, Damand D, Barth M, Derrouiche R (2018) Entrepôt du futur : formalisation des caractéristiques clefs. In: MOSIM'18. Toulouse, France.



### discussions and prospects



- the concept of contradiction is relevant to the strategic evolution issues of warehousing systems (WS)
- the results obtained only allow a partial generalization.
- Perspectives:
  - the development of a systematic method of identifying contradictions and mapping
  - the development of mapping and S-curves logistic user guides for strategic decision-making related to technologies.

practical	theoretical
<ul> <li>identify the direction of evolution for the warehouses (What? When? Where?)</li> <li>conflicts of interest between key actors during WS life cycle</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the definition of a mapping of contradictions of WS;</li> <li>strategic decision support related to technologies (e.g. emerging)</li> </ul>



### All models are wrong, but some are useful

- George E. P. Box

## **THANK YOU**

Using map of contradiction for decision support within warehouse design process Dmitry KUCHARAVY, dkucharavy@unistra.fr | David DAMAND | Marc BARTH | Ridha DERROUICHE | Samia GAMOURA